





A variance was granted for a neighbor's property at 3200 Glenview Avenue in 2014 and a garage and studio apartment were built.

Proposed Garage and Home Office





Ramirez, Elaine

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, July 09, 2021 5:40 PM
To: Ramirez, Elaine; Ramirez, Diana
Subject: C15-2021-0056 3006 Glenview Avenue Agenda Item D-2
Attachments: 3006 Glenview 7-12-21 BOA case.pdf

Importance: High

*** External Email - Exercise Caution ***

Good afternoon Elaine and Diana,

Please be sure that the Board of Adjustment members get the following position statement and attachment before the Monday, July 12, 2021 hearing.

By the way, the Bryker Woods Neighborhood Association did not receive notification of this variance hearing from the City, rather we received it second hand from another neighborhood association.

Thank you,
Joyce Basciano
Bryker Woods Neighborhood Association

Dear Chair Cohen and Members of the Board of Adjustment,

Re: C15-2021-0056 3006 Glenview Avenue Agenda Item D-2

The Bryker Woods Neighborhood Association (BWNA) Board of Directors considered this variance request. In the case of through lots we are guided by the **precedent set by the Board of Adjustment on Monday, September 8, 2014 in Case Number C15-2014-0115 (see attachment)**. That case involved another through lot on Glenview Avenue that backed up to Jefferson St. (3200 Glenview, cited in the backup for 3006 Glenview). The 2014 BOA Decision **granted a variance decreasing the 25 ft setback to 10 ft** from the property line, **not 5 ft**. A 10 ft rear lot setback is still reasonable for through lots on Glenview Avenue and is in keeping with the historic character of Jefferson St.

Accordingly, we support a decrease of the 25 ft setback to 10 ft but oppose a decrease of the setback to 5 ft.

Thank you for your service to the community,

Joyce Basciano, for the Bryker Woods Neighborhood Association

CAUTION: This email was received at the City of Austin, from an EXTERNAL source. Please use caution when clicking links or opening attachments. If you believe this to be a malicious and/or phishing email, please forward this email to cybersecurity@austintexas.gov.

CITY OF AUSTIN
Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board
Decision Sheet

DATE: Monday, September 8, 2014

CASE NUMBER: C15-2014-0115

☒ Y _____ Jeff Jack
☒ Y _____ Michael Von Ohlen **Motion to Grant**
☒ Y _____ Ricardo De Camps
☒ Y _____ Bryan King
☒ Y _____ Stuart Hampton - Vincent Harding (left early)
☒ Y _____ Melissa Hawthorne **2nd the Motion**
☒ Y _____ Sallie Burchett

APPLICANT: Jim Bennett
OWNER: Kenneth Gorence


ADDRESS: 3200 GLENVIEW AVE


VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested a variance(s) to Section 25-2-515 (D) (*Rear Yard of Through Lot*) to decrease the rear yard of a through lot from 25 feet (required) to 10 feet (requested) in order to rebuild a garage and add a 360 square foot 2nd story guest quarters (no kitchen) in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence – Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (Windsor Road)

BOARD'S DECISION: The public hearing was closed on Board Member Michael Von Ohlen motion to Grant 10 feet back from property line, no short term rental, Board Member Melissa Hawthorne second on a 7-0 vote; **GRANTED 10 FEET BACK FROM PROPERTY LINE, NO SHORT TERM RENTAL.**

FINDING:

1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: an accessory structure currently exist in the proposed location where the garage has always been located
2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: the existing garage originally constructed in its current location since 1939 does not meet the current through lot setback requirement, and is considered non-complying, the existing developed condition of the site including the landscaping, trees, and location of the existing house dictate the proper replacement of the structure, this lot has frontage on three streets which compound the placement
 (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: this lot has frontage on three streets, requiring three street yard setbacks which further complicates the placement of the structure
3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because: the proposed garage will be constructed 10' back from the property line where it has been since 1939 garage is currently located in the same location


 Leane Heldenfels
 Executive Liaison


 Jeff Jack
 Chairman

Heldenfels, Leane

From: Joyce Basciano <jbasciano@brykerwoods.org>
Sent: Monday, September 08, 2014 8:32 AM
To: Heldenfels, Leane
Subject: C15-2014-0115 3200 Glenview Avenue
Importance: High

Good morning Leane,
Please be sure that the Board of Adjustment members get the following position statement before tonight's hearing.
Thank you,
Joyce Basciano
Bryker Woods Neighborhood Association

Dear Chairman Jack and the Members of the Board of Adjustment,

Re: C15-2014-0115 3200 Glenview Avenue

The Bryker Woods Neighborhood Association (BWNA) Board of Directors carefully considers each variance request. We do not agree with all the justifications in the application. We do not believe that just because a lot has an existing non-complying structure, it should be granted a variance in order to rebuild that structure in the same or similar location.

That being said, our non-opposition to this particular variance request is based on the:

- 1) uniqueness of the lot-- it is bounded on 3 sides by streets and has an odd configuration
- 2) understanding that the owner is willing to bring his new replacement structure into full compliance with development standards (including parking requirements) for an accessory structure on non-through lot properties.
- 3) opinion that a 10 ft rear setback and the ground floor use as a garage is in keeping with the historic character of Jefferson St.

Should the Board of Adjustment decide to grant this variance request the BWNA Board of Directors respectfully asks that the BOA include the 3 bases of our non-opposition in the text of its decision.

Thank you.

Joyce Basciano, for the Bryker Woods Neighborhood Association

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, **you are not required to attend**. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
 - appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
 - is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
 - is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/devservices.

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before 9 a.m. the day of the public hearing to be added to the Late Back-up and viewed by the Board the night of the meeting. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2021-0056

Contact: Elaine Ramirez; elaine.ramirez@austintexas.gov

Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment; July 12th, 2021

MIKE BOHM

Your Name (please print)

2907 GLENVIEW AVE

Your address(es) affected by this application

[Signature]

Signature

☒ I am in favor
☐ I object

7/6/21

Date

Daytime Telephone: 210-882-0731

Comments: MIRRORS OTHER APPROVED VARIANCES
FOR THROUGH LOTS ALONG GLENVIEW DR.

If you use this form to comment, it must currently be returned via e-mail (as we do not have access to our mail due to COVID-19 and social distancing) to:

Elaine Ramirez

Scan & Email to: elaine.ramirez@austintexas.gov

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before 9 a.m. the day of the public hearing to be added to the Late Back-up and viewed by the Board the night of the meeting. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2021-0058

Contact: Elaine Ramirez; elaine.ramirez@austintexas.gov

Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment; July 12th, 2021

PAULETTE H. ROCH

Your Name (please print)

☒ I am in favor
☐ I object

1207 CHOQUETTE DR. AUSTIN, TX 78757

Your address(es) affected by this application

Paulette H. Roch

Signature

7-7-2021

Date

Daytime Telephone: 512-426-6576

Comments: _____

If you use this form to comment, it must currently be returned via e-mail (as we do not have access to our mail due to COVID-19 and social distancing) to:

Elaine Ramirez

Scan & Email to: elaine.ramirez@austintexas.gov

Ramirez, Elaine

From: Melissa Nordberg [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2021 7:56 AM
To: Ramirez, Elaine
Subject: request C15-2021-0056

*** External Email - Exercise Caution ***

Hi Elaine,

I would like to show my support for variance request C15-2021-0056 made by Chase and Andrea Hamilton. The east side of the Jefferson is like an alley and homeowners need off-street parking! It's a Must! Thank you

Missy Nordberg
917-975-1850

Sent from my iPhone

CAUTION: This email was received at the City of Austin, from an EXTERNAL source. Please use caution when clicking links or opening attachments. If you believe this to be a malicious and/or phishing email, please forward this email to cybersecurity@austintexas.gov.

Ramirez, Elaine

From: Ak Bowersock [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2021 8:46 AM
To: Ramirez, Elaine
Subject: case number C15-2021-0056

*** External Email - Exercise Caution ***

To whom it may concern:

I support variance request C15-2021-0056 made by Chase and Andrea Hamilton. The east side of the Jefferson Corridor is treated like an alley by homeowners and off-street parking is essential to keeping the character of our neighborhood. I live across the street and I am in support of this.

Sincerely,
Arien Bowersock
3104 Bryker Dr.
Austin TX 78703

CAUTION: This email was received at the City of Austin, from an EXTERNAL source. Please use caution when clicking links or opening attachments. If you believe this to be a malicious and/or phishing email, please forward this email to cybersecurity@austintexas.gov.

Ramirez, Elaine

From: Rob Shands [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2021 9:23 AM
To: Ramirez, Elaine
Cc: Andrea Rado Hamilton <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED]
Subject: Support Approval: case number C15-2021-0056

*** External Email - Exercise Caution ***

Hello -

I support variance request C15-2021-0056 made by Chase and Andrea Hamilton. Their request is completely reasonable for the neighborhood. This variance is in line with the City's stated goals of promoting smart density to combat the affordability crisis.

Thank You,

Rob Shands
3003 Beverly Rd
Austin, Tx 78703

CAUTION: This email was received at the City of Austin, from an EXTERNAL source. Please use caution when clicking links or opening attachments. If you believe this to be a malicious and/or phishing email, please forward this email to cybersecurity@austintexas.gov.

Ramirez, Elaine

From: Meredith Jarrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2021 9:58 AM
To: Ramirez, Elaine
Subject: case number C15-2021-0056

*** External Email - Exercise Caution ***

I support the variance request C15-2021-0056 made by Chase and Andrea Hamilton. The east side of the Jefferson Corridor is treated like an alley by homeowners and off-street parking is essential to keeping the character of our neighborhood. Our home specifically looks directly onto their home, we are their across the street neighbors on Jefferson and think that this will be a huge improvement to our neighborhood. We fully support the construction of their project.

Meredith and Ted Jarrett
1701 W 31st St, Austin, TX 78703

CAUTION: This email was received at the City of Austin, from an EXTERNAL source. Please use caution when clicking links or opening attachments. If you believe this to be a malicious and/or phishing email, please forward this email to cybersecurity@austintexas.gov.

Ramirez, Elaine

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, July 09, 2021 5:40 PM
To: Ramirez, Elaine; Ramirez, Diana
Subject: C15-2021-0056 3006 Glenview Avenue Agenda Item D-2
Attachments: 3006 Glenview 7-12-21 BOA case.pdf

Importance: High

*** External Email - Exercise Caution ***

Good afternoon Elaine and Diana,

Please be sure that the Board of Adjustment members get the following position statement and attachment before the Monday, July 12, 2021 hearing.

By the way, the Bryker Woods Neighborhood Association did not receive notification of this variance hearing from the City, rather we received it second hand from another neighborhood association.

Thank you,
Joyce Basciano
Bryker Woods Neighborhood Association

Dear Chair Cohen and Members of the Board of Adjustment,

Re: C15-2021-0056 3006 Glenview Avenue Agenda Item D-2

The Bryker Woods Neighborhood Association (BWNA) Board of Directors considered this variance request. In the case of through lots we are guided by the **precedent set by the Board of Adjustment on Monday, September 8, 2014 in Case Number C15-2014-0115 (see attachment)**. That case involved another through lot on Glenview Avenue that backed up to Jefferson St. (3200 Glenview, cited in the backup for 3006 Glenview). The 2014 BOA Decision **granted a variance decreasing the 25 ft setback to 10 ft** from the property line, **not 5 ft**. A 10 ft rear lot setback is still reasonable for through lots on Glenview Avenue and is in keeping with the historic character of Jefferson St.

Accordingly, we support a decrease of the 25 ft setback to 10 ft but oppose a decrease of the setback to 5 ft.

Thank you for your service to the community,

Joyce Basciano, for the Bryker Woods Neighborhood Association

CAUTION: This email was received at the City of Austin, from an EXTERNAL source. Please use caution when clicking links or opening attachments. If you believe this to be a malicious and/or phishing email, please forward this email to cybersecurity@austintexas.gov.

CITY OF AUSTIN
Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board
Decision Sheet

DATE: Monday, September 8, 2014

CASE NUMBER: C15-2014-0115

☒ Y Jeff Jack
☒ Y Michael Von Ohlen **Motion to Grant**
☒ Y Ricardo De Camps
☒ Y Bryan King
☒ Y Stuart Hampton - Vincent Harding (left early)
☒ Y Melissa Hawthorne **2nd the Motion**
☒ Y Sallie Burchett

APPLICANT: Jim Bennett
OWNER: Kenneth Gorence


ADDRESS: 3200 GLENVIEW AVE


VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested a variance(s) to Section 25-2-515 (D) (*Rear Yard of Through Lot*) to decrease the rear yard of a through lot from 25 feet (required) to 10 feet (requested) in order to rebuild a garage and add a 360 square foot 2nd story guest quarters (no kitchen) in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence – Neighborhood Plan zoning district. (Windsor Road)

BOARD'S DECISION: The public hearing was closed on Board Member Michael Von Ohlen motion to Grant 10 feet back from property line, no short term rental, Board Member Melissa Hawthorne second on a 7-0 vote; **GRANTED 10 FEET BACK FROM PROPERTY LINE, NO SHORT TERM RENTAL.**

FINDING:

1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: an accessory structure currently exist in the proposed location where the garage has always been located
2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: the existing garage originally constructed in its current location since 1939 does not meet the current through lot setback requirement, and is considered non-complying, the existing developed condition of the site including the landscaping, trees, and location of the existing house dictate the proper replacement of the structure, this lot has frontage on three streets which compound the placement
 (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: this lot has frontage on three streets, requiring three street yard setbacks which further complicates the placement of the structure
3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because: the proposed garage will be constructed 10' back from the property line where it has been since 1939 garage is currently located in the same location


 Leane Heldenfels
 Executive Liaison


 Jeff Jack
 Chairman

Heldenfels, Leane

From: Joyce Basciano [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 08, 2014 8:32 AM
To: Heldenfels, Leane
Subject: C15-2014-0115 3200 Glenview Avenue
Importance: High

Good morning Leane,
Please be sure that the Board of Adjustment members get the following position statement before tonight's hearing.
Thank you,
Joyce Basciano
Bryker Woods Neighborhood Association

Dear Chairman Jack and the Members of the Board of Adjustment,

Re: C15-2014-0115 3200 Glenview Avenue

The Bryker Woods Neighborhood Association (BWNA) Board of Directors carefully considers each variance request. We do not agree with all the justifications in the application. We do not believe that just because a lot has an existing non-complying structure, it should be granted a variance in order to rebuild that structure in the same or similar location.

That being said, our non-opposition to this particular variance request is based on the:

- 1) uniqueness of the lot-- it is bounded on 3 sides by streets and has an odd configuration
- 2) understanding that the owner is willing to bring his new replacement structure into full compliance with development standards (including parking requirements) for an accessory structure on non-through lot properties.
- 3) opinion that a 10 ft rear setback and the ground floor use as a garage is in keeping with the historic character of Jefferson St.

Should the Board of Adjustment decide to grant this variance request the BWNA Board of Directors respectfully asks that the BOA include the 3 bases of our non-opposition in the text of its decision.

Thank you.

Joyce Basciano, for the Bryker Woods Neighborhood Association

From: Kathryn Timmerman
To: [Ramirez, Elaine](#)
Subject: C15-2021-0056
Date: Thursday, July 29, 2021 3:31:40 PM

*** External Email - Exercise Caution ***

C15-2021-0056

Elaine, I live at 2908 Glenview Avenue, Austin, Texas 78703 and I writing you in support of the variance from the Land Development Code requested by Andrea Hamilton.

Thank you,
Kathryn Timmerman
512-825-8375

CAUTION: This email was received at the City of Austin, from an EXTERNAL source. Please use caution when clicking links or opening attachments. If you believe this to be a malicious and/or phishing email, please forward this email to cybersecurity@austintexas.gov.

From: Andrea Rado Hamilton
To: [Ramirez, Elaine](#)
Subject: Re: Mon. Oct. 11th, 2021 BOA Advanced Packet deadline
Date: Monday, September 27, 2021 2:03:30 PM
Attachments: [image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

*** External Email - Exercise Caution ***

Eliane, we will need to push to the November meeting because we need to add one more variance to the list. Can you send me the deadline to get that paperwork in, and can you move us to the November agenda?

On Fri, Sep 24, 2021 at 8:11 AM Ramirez, Elaine <Elaine.Ramirez@austintexas.gov> wrote:

Good morning Applicants on the Mon. October 11th, 2021 BOA mtg. Agenda,

If you have already submitted your Advanced Packet, updated information to your Advanced Packet **or** was part of the Advanced Packet from last month's or the previous month's meeting, please disregard. **If** you want to add any additional information to what you have already submitted, the deadline is below.

The deadline applies to NEW cases and existing Postponed cases, only submit new or additional information, not what you have already submitted (application + survey).

Please read this entire e-mail



The deadline to submit information for the Advanced Packet (must be submitted in PDF format) is **today, Friday, September 24th, before 3p.m.**

Advanced Packet: You have until **Friday, September 24th, before 3p.m.** to submit any maps, surveys, drawings, photos and any additional documentation, (including the Special Exception Inspection Report if you applied for a Special Exception, if REPORT not included this case will have to be Postponed) you want included as part of your Advanced Packet for your BOA variance case [**do not** include already submitted application + survey], **this is not your Presentation.** **The Advanced Packet will need to be submitted to me via e-mail in PDF format.**

October 11th BOA Deadlines

Please make sure that when submitting the Advanced Packet and the Presentation that each is labeled as such

The deadline to submit information for the Advanced Packet (must be submitted in PDF format) is **Friday, September 24th, before 3p.m.**

The deadline to submit the Presentation (must be submitted in PDF format or PowerPoint) is **Monday, October 4th, before 3p.m.**

The deadline for Opposition and Support letters is **Mon. October 11th, before 9:00a.m.**

Advanced Packet: You have until **Friday, September 24th, before 3p.m.** to submit any maps, surveys, drawings, photos and any additional documentation, (including the Special Exception Inspection Report if you applied for a Special Exception, if REPORT not included this case will have to be Postponed) you want included as part of your Advanced Packet for your BOA variance case [**do not** include already submitted application + survey], **this is not your Presentation.** **The Advanced Packet will need to be**

submitted to me via e-mail in PDF format.

Presentation: If you would like the Board to follow along with you as you are giving your presentation (You will have 5 minutes to hone in on the main aspects of the case as you are presenting the case to the Board), you will need to have your Presentation completed and sent to me in PDF format or PowerPoint to give to our City Technician as well as our BOA Board will have access to view this the week prior to the meeting **(DO NOT send in a drop box as our systems do not support drop box)**. The Deadline for this is **Monday, Oct. 4th, before 3p.m. No late Presentations or updated Presentations will be accepted** after 3p.m. on Mon. Oct. 4th.

The Presentation will need to be labeled with the following information: **case #, address of project and name of Applicant** speaking at the meeting.

Please note: Any late support that will be accepted after this deadline date of September 24th, 2021 will **only** be for Opposition and Support letters for this case. Support and Opposition Letters can be received up to Monday, Oct. 11th, **before 9a.m.** in order for the Board to have access to them during the meeting. Anything after the deadline will not be viewed by the Board but will be added to the BCIC website.

Respectfully,

Elaine Ramirez

Planner Senior / Board of Adjustment Liaison

City of Austin Development Services Department

6310 Wilhelmina Delco Dr, Austin, Texas 78752

Office: 512-974-2202



PER CITY ORDINANCE: All individuals scheduling or accepting a meeting invitation with a City Official are requested to provide responses to the questions at the following link: [DSD Visitor Log](#).

Please note that all information provided is subject to public disclosure via DSD's open data portal. For more information please visit: [City of Austin Ordinance 2016-0922-005](#) | [City Clerk's website](#) | [City Clerk's FAQ's](#)

CAUTION: This email was received at the City of Austin, from an EXTERNAL source. Please use caution when clicking links or opening attachments. If you believe this to be a malicious and/or phishing email, please forward this email to cybersecurity@austintexas.gov.